

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,950	1	04/16/2001	Yoshihiko Yano	206138US0	5372	
22850	7590	08/09/2002				
		ICCLELLAND M	EXAMINER			
1755 JEFFE	DURTH FLOOR 55 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY THOMPSON, CAMIL					
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1774	1	
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Appliagnt(s)	#52
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/834,950		YANO, YOSHIHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE of this	Camie S Thompson	Į.	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover st	leet with the co	orrespondence addres	5S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	may a reply be time m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	. ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commu) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for form	al matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	oted or b) objected	o by the Exan	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	, ,	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved I	o) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d in Application	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).		ge
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			plication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro				ŕ
Attachment(s)	-			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1 	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ad	ction Summary		Part of Par	er No. 6

Company of the second

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to a fluorescent thin film and an electroluminescence panel, classified in class 428, subclass 690.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to a process for forming a fluorescent thin film, classified in class264, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a fluorescent thin film can be made by high temperature film deposition.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Frederk Vastine on 7/22/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

6. The claims in this application do not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52 (b). Appropriate correction is required in response to this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

8. Claims 1,3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chadha, U.S. Patent Nuamber 5,662,831.

Chadha discloses a luminescent phosphor made by combining an yttrium oxysulfide host material with a europium compound as the luminescent center as per instant claims 1, 3 and 5 (see column 3, lines 63-column 4, line 8). The reference also discloses that the luminescent phosphor can be used as a thin film and in high-resolution flat panel displays as per instant claims 1 and 6 (see column3, lines 59-62 and column 5, lines 57-61). Inherently, phosphors are fluorescent substances.

9. Claims 1,3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harkonen et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,314,759.

Harkonen discloses the use of a phosphor layer in a thin-film electroluminescent display having a host material such as lanthanum sulfide and europium or cerium as the luminescent center as per instant claims 1, 3 and 5 (see column 5, lines 25-64). Inherently, phosphors are fluorescent substances.

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Art Unit: 1774

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

11. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hampden-Smith et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,153,123.

The Hampden-Smith reference is drawn to sulfur-containing phosphor powders that are used thin films and produce fluorescent lighting and can be used as electroluminescence flat panel displays as per instant claims 1 and 6 (see abstract and column 42, lines 11-33). The reference discloses that the host material is yttrium oxysulfide and can be doped with aluminum and the luminescent center is europium as per instant claims 1-3 and 5 (see column 36, lines 59-68 and Table 1, column 37).

12. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Johnshin